**RESEARCH EVALUATION FORM FOR DEVELOPMENTAL STUDIES**

**(ENGINEERING, ARCHITECTURE, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name: | ${Students} | Course / Year: | ${Course} / ${Year} |
| Title: | ${Title} | | |
|  |  | | |
| Adviser-Promoter: | ${Adviser} |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **CRITERIA** | **POINTS** | |
| **I. ORIGINALITY (10 points)** | **10** |  |
| 1. The topic is relatively new and/or has not been studies before. | 5 |  |
| 1. It offers a relatively complex and creative approach to the topic | 5 |  |
| **II. RELEVANCE (10 points)** | **10** |  |
| 1. It expands the frontier of knowledge in the field studied. | 5 |  |
| 1. It has practical usefulness and applicability, e.g. it provides solutions for existing problems and difficulties in the school, community and society; it improves quality of life; it provides basis for making decisions, policies and actions. | 5 |  |
| **III. QUALITY (70 points)** | **70** |  |
| 1. Design Methodology |  |  |
| 1.1.The proposed system meets user’s requirements as described in the Systems Analysis document | 10 |  |
| 1.2. CS/IT techniques, models, and methodologies used are correct and appropriate. | 10 |  |
| 1. Program Application | 10 |  |
| * 1. All major as well as minor features of the software function properly | 10 |  |
| * 1. It includes error and access / security handling methodology | 10 |  |
| * 1. Program conforms with the technical standards of software development | 10 |  |
| * 1. Documentation is complete, *i.e.* it includes user’s and technical manual | 10 |  |
| 3. Form and Style. The system documentation follows proper form and style, *e.g.* acknowledgement of sources, diagrams, system models. | 10 |  |
| **IV. PUBLIC PRESENTATION (10 points)** | **10** |  |
| 1. The researcher/s (proponent/s) demonstrate/s mastery of the study and ability to present it systematically, clearly, and comprehensively. | 5 |  |
| 1. The researcher/s (proponent/s) demonstrate/s ability to comprehend and answer questions from the panel members. | 5 |  |
| **TOTAL** | **100** |  |

**COMMENTS** (Please write them below and/or at the back hereof**):**

1.

2.

3**.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |

**Signature over Printed Name of the Evaluator**

**Date**: \_\_\_\_${Date}\_\_\_